

414

SECRET

FEB 18 1992

T18 CWURB

SUBJECT: Questions for Presentation to [ ] BOFIREND

TO:

Chief, ZAGCETUS

Info: Chief, ZAGCETUS

Attn: [ ]

Attn: [ ]

REFERENCE: a. T17 CWURB  
b. WASH 26400

1. Per reference a, it is requested that you secure answers to the following questions from [ ]

a. Are there any basic principles of the BOFIREND/VALCABLE relationship that [ ] would require amendment?

b. Does QFERVERD accept the ZAGCETUS view that HTNISIHN should be broadened to include HTNISOP and/or Greens either as individuals or as representatives of their respective political groups?

c. Does QFERVERD propose to assist in the reorganization of HTNISIHN even if such a move would cause the withdrawal of the ZKRCWCHS?

d. Is QFERVERD now, or do they intend in the future to negotiate with HTNISOP, either as an individual or as a BOFIREND leader?

e. Is the ZKRCWCHS relationship with the KNUCKER Service such that a basis for operational coordination exists?

f. For what reasons do the ZKRCWCHS favor the INVALERDICTS both as members of HTNISIHN and as an independent political party? Is QFERVERD providing the INVALERDICTS enough financial assistance as compensation for operational support to constitute political backing?

g. Are the ZKRCWCHS subsidizing the INVALERDICT newspaper and/or newsletter?

h. BOFIREND advocates HTNISIHN engagement in such activities as civil affairs planning. At the European Union meeting, the ZKRCWCHS

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCES/METHOD/EXEMPTION 3020  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2007

**SECRET**

- 2 -

emphasized the necessity for such planning on the part of exile groups. In view of the above can we expect ZACROWS to continue to block planning activities on the part of MTNIGHT?

i. Is it the present ZACROWN view that MTNIGHT or any faction thereof represents a government in exile?

j. Are the ZACROWS engaged in negotiations with the TPROACHES re KMG/AMG?

k. Is [ ] willing to direct resumption of close BOFRIEND/VALUABLE liaison in the field?

2. With reference to [ ] S940, please ask [ ] to elaborate on his complaint concerning the overactivation of the LGMNK as well as his decision to give RNMMLION WSFISHY status.

3. Although [ ] may be unwilling to give direct replies to the above questions, it should be emphasized to him that forthright answers are vital to the continuance of BOFRIEND/VALUABLE cooperation. Furthermore, they will provide a partial basis for the impending [ ] discussions.

[ ]  
[ ]  
SE/PC:DC:er  
12 February 1952

Distribution:

[ ] field - Orig & 2  
SD/RE - 2  
SE/PC - 2

**SECRET**